UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,614	08/01/2006	Keiichi Tanaka	P30265 3796	
	7590 06/25/201 & BERNSTEIN, P.L.0		EXAMINER	
1950 ROLAND	CLARKE PLACE	-	CHOWDHURY, NIGAR	
RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/25/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

		A P C NI	A P = (t-)		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Astrono		10/597,614	TANAKA ET AL.		
Office Action Summa	ry	Examiner	Art Unit		
		NIGAR CHOWDHURY	2621		
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM  - Extensions of time may be available under the properties of the p	FHE MAILING DA rovisions of 37 CFR 1.130 nis communication. timum statutory period wifor reply will, by statute, amonths after the mailing	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
•	2b)⊠ This a	igust 2006. action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro x <i>parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45			
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed 6)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected s)  Claim(s) are subject to	_ is/are withdraw				
Application Papers					
	nust 2006 is/are: any objection to the decluding the correction	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected t Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing References Statement(s) (PTO/Spaper No(s)/Mail Date 11/7/2006,01/03/200	SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte		

Art Unit: 2621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005), Annex IV, reads as follows:

Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or "nonfunctional descriptive material." In this context, "functional descriptive material" consists of data structures and computer programs which impart functionality when employed as a computer component. (The definition of "data structure" is "a physical or logical relationship among data elements, designed to support specific data manipulation functions." The New IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms 308 (5th ed. 1993).) "Nonfunctional descriptive material" includes but is not limited to music, literary works and a compilation or mere arrangement of data.

When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (claim to data structure stored on a computer readable medium that increases computer efficiency held statutory) and Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360-61, 31 USPQ2d at 1759 (claim to computer having a specific data structure stored in memory held statutory product-by-process claim) with Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory).

In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. See Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035.

Claim(s) 10 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows. Claim 10 defines **a program** embodying functional descriptive material. However, the claim does not define a computer-readable medium or memory and is thus non-statutory for that reason (i.e., "When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory

Art Unit: 2621

in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized" – Guidelines Annex IV). That is, the scope of the presently claimed **a program** can range from paper on which the program is written, to a program simply contemplated and memorized by a person.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 1. Claims 1-2, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 7,206,892 by Kim et al.
- 2. Regarding **claim 1**, a playback device for playing back a digital stream and an application which are recorded on a recording medium, in conjunction with each other, comprising:
  - a playback unit operable to play back the digital stream (fig. 2, 6, col. 1 lines 31-col. 2 lines 10, col. 4 lines 7-54); and
  - a platform unit operable to execute the application to perform playback control (fig. 2, 6, col. 1 lines 31-col. 2 lines 10, col. 4 lines 7-54), wherein
  - the playback unit has a plurality of real parameters used for the playback control, the plurality of real parameters being specific to the playback device (fig. 2, 6, col. 1 lines 31-col. 2 lines 10, col. 4 lines 7-54),
  - the platform unit includes:

Art Unit: 2621

• an execution unit operable to interpret and execute the application; and

 a module unit having a function to be provided to the application, and operable to perform the playback control (fig. 2, 6, col. 1 lines 31-col. 2

lines 10, col. 4 lines 7-54), and

• the playback control causes, via the function, the playback unit to play back the digital stream based on one of the plurality of real parameters (fig. 2, 6, col. 1 lines 31-col. 2 lines 10, col. 4 lines 7-54).

3. Regarding **claim 2**, the playback device wherein

• the function to be provided to the application is a function of supplying special playback information to the application in response to a call from the application in execution, the special playback information showing a correspondence between a plurality of user events and the plurality of real parameters (fig. 2, 6, col. 1 lines 31-col. 2 lines 10, col. 4 lines 7-54),

- the playback control of the module unit responds to a function call from the application (fig. 2, 6, col. 1 lines 31-col. 2 lines 10, col. 4 lines 7-54), and
- the special playback information is used for the function call (fig. 2, 6, col.
   1 lines 31-col. 2 lines 10, col. 4 lines 7-54).
- 4. **Claim 10** is rejected for the same reason as discussed in the corresponding claim 1 above.

Art Unit: 2621

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 7,206,892 by Kim et al. in view of US 6,360,053 by Wood et al.
- 6. Regarding **claim 3**, Kim discloses the playback unit has a plurality of real parameters used for the playback control, the plurality of real parameters being specific to the playback device (fig. 2, 6, col. 1 lines 31-col. 2 lines 10, col. 4 lines 7-54) but fails to disclose the playback device wherein
  - each of the plurality of user events shows a type of a button pushed by a user, and
  - each of the plurality of real parameters shows a playback rate multiplication factor.

Wood et al. discloses

the playback device wherein

- each of the plurality of user events shows a type of a button pushed by a user (col. 3 lines 35-50), and
- each of the plurality of real parameters shows a playback rate multiplication factor (col. 3 lines 35-50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the proposed combination of Kim's system to include playback rate multiplication factor, as taught by Wood et al., for user to have more flexibility to change the playback rate multiplication factor based on the button pushed by the user.

- 7. **Claim 4** is rejected for the same reason as discussed in the corresponding claim 3 above.
- 8. **Claim 5** is rejected for the same reason as discussed in the corresponding claim 3 above.
- 9. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 7,206,892 by Kim et al. in view of US 4,873,584 by Hishimoto.
- 10. Regarding **claim 6**, Kim discloses the playback unit has a plurality of real parameters used for the playback control, the plurality of real parameters being specific to the playback device (fig. 2, 6, col. 1 lines 31-col. 2 lines 10, col. 4 lines 7-54) but fails to disclose the playback device wherein
  - the function to be provided to the application is a function of supplying array data listing the plurality of real parameters to the application, in response to a call from the application in execution.

Hishimoto discloses the playback device wherein

 the function to be provided to the application is a function of supplying array data listing the plurality of real parameters to the application, in response to a call from the application in execution (fig. 4, col. 3 lines 15-34).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the proposed combination of Kim's system to include array data list, as taught by Hishimoto, of plurality of real parameters to the application for executing.

- 11. Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 7,206,892 by Kim et al. in view of US 7,672,566 by Seo et al.
- 12. Regarding **claim 7**, Kim discloses a platform unit operable to execute the application to perform playback control (fig. 2, 6, col. 1 lines 31-col. 2 lines 10, col. 4 lines 7-54) but fails to disclose the playback device wherein the execution unit controls rendering of graphics by interpreting and executing the application.

Seo et al. discloses the playback device wherein the execution unit controls rendering of graphics by interpreting and executing the application (fig. 5-6, col. 9 lines 11-55).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the proposed combination of Kim's system to include

Art Unit: 2621

graphics data, as taught by Seo et al., for having more flexibility to a user to watch video

and audio data associated with graphics data.

13. Regarding **claim 8**, the playback device wherein

• the function to be provided to the application is a function of supplying

special playback information to the application in response to a call from

the application, the special playback information showing a

correspondence between a plurality of user events and the plurality of real

parameters specific to the playback device(Kim, fig. 2, 6, col. 1 lines 31-

col. 2 lines 10, col. 4 lines 7-54),

the module unit performs the playback control in response to a function

call from the application using the special playback information (Kim, fig.

2, 6, col. 1 lines 31-col. 2 lines 10, col. 4 lines 7-54), and

• the application controls the rendering of the graphics based on the special

playback information acquired via the function (Seo et al., fig. 5-6, col. 9

lines 11-55).

14. Regarding **claim 9**, the playback device wherein

the function to be provided to the application is a function of expanding a

variable that corresponds to a playback rate, received from the

application, into one of the plurality of real parameters that corresponds to

Art Unit: 2621

the playback rate, and supplying the expanded real parameter to the application (Kim, fig. 2, 6, col. 1 lines 31-col. 2 lines 10, col. 4 lines 7-54),

- the module unit performs the playback control based on the expanded real parameter (Kim, fig. 2, 6, col. 1 lines 31-col. 2 lines 10, col. 4 lines 7-54), and
- the application controls the rendering of the graphics based on the expanded real parameter (Seo et al., fig. 5-6, col. 9 lines 11-55).

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a) US 7,734,154
- b) US 7,720,356
- c) US 7,664,371
- d) US 7,634,175
- e) US 7,627,230
- f) US 7,616,862

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NIGAR CHOWDHURY whose telephone number is (571)272-8890. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5.

Art Unit: 2621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NC 06/17/2010

/JAMIE JO ATALA/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621